# **Board of Public Works** October 13, 2010

A regular meeting of the Board of Public Works of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, was held the 13<sup>th</sup> day of October 2010, in the Public Assembly Room of the City County Building. Chair David Sherman called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

Board members in attendance were: David Sherman Robert Parrin Cassie Stockamp

Dorothy Henry Kenneth Hughes Dennis Rosebrough

Absent: Tony Samuel

Staff in attendance were:

DeAnn Milliken Nicole Kelsey Mike Smith
Larry Jones Neil Marcus Ron Stinson
Nicole Kelsey Bob Masbaum Monica Ferguson

Darrell Fishel Jet

Jeff Sirmin

Bob Ransom

#### ITEM # 1 – RESOLUTIONS

a. Resolution No. 51, 2010; Parking Meter Waiver Fees for the Firefighter Local 416; Reading of the Names

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and adopt Resolution No. 51, 2010, for the waiver of parking meter fees for the Reading of the Names event. Upon a motion by Mr. Parrin, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve and adopt Board Resolution No. 51, 2010.

b. Resolution No. 52, 2010; Parking Meter Waiver Fees for the St. Vincent Mobile Unit Mammograms

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and adopt Resolution No. 52, 2010, for the waiver of parking meter fees for the St. Vincent Mobile Unit event. Upon a motion by Mr. Parrin, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve and adopt Board Resolution No. 52, 2010.

Resolution No. 53, 2010; Parking Meter Waiver Fees for the Encroachment in the Right-of-Way of the John
 P. Heath Regulated Legal Drain

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and adopt Resolution No. 53, 2010, granting an encroachment into the right of way of the John P. Heath Regulated Legal Drain. The petitioner has requested encroachments on the right of way for the John P. Heath Regulated Legal Drain. The request is in order to allow a directional bore of approximately 100' ft of 3" domestic water services line and install four 4" – 5" concrete encased within the right of way. Mr. Parrin asked whether or not this job would be performed below ground. Mr. Marcus replied yes, directly beneath a drain. Mr. Parrin asked if this was a commercial facility by Roche. Mr. Marcus replied yes. Mr. Parrin asked if this project would provide additional jobs. Mr. Marcus replied that he was not certain. Upon a motion by Ms. Stockamp, seconded by Mr. Hughes, the Board voted 6-0 to approve and adopt Board Resolution No. 53, 2010.

# ITEM # 2 – BID AWARDS

a. Preformed Plastic Pavement Markings \$102,968.75 – Flint Trading, Inc.

# \$31,737.50 – Lightle Enterprises of Ohio, LLC

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute the contracts for the purchase of preformed plastic pavement markings with Flint Trading, Inc., and Lightle Enterprises of Ohio, LLC, the lowest responsive and responsible bidder for an estimated expenditure of \$134,706.25 per year, for a contract term that begins upon the date of execution of the contract by all parties and continues for two years. This contract is for the sale and delivery of preformed plastic pavement markings material to the Department of Public Works used for road and street markings in accordance with INDOT Standard specifications. Upon a motion by Mr. Rosebrough, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve the Preformed Plastic Pavement Markings contract.

b. Roll Off Trucks for the Department of Public Works \$0.00 – Indy Truck Sales

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute an agreement for a two year term with Indy Truck Sales, the overall lowest responsive and responsible bidder with no material exceptions, for Roll Off Trucks for the Department of Public Works. This bid would provide for a two year agreement for the purchase of roll off trucks with an initial purchase of one unit. The vehicles will be delivered in one hundred and eighty to two hundred and forty days after receipt of the purchase order. Ms. Henry asked about the total number of trucks that would be purchased over a two year period. Mr. Stinson replied that he did not have that information; however, staff was looking to purchase one unit. He also stated that there was an upcoming meeting to discuss the 2011 purchases. Upon a motion by Ms. Henry, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve the Roll Off Trucks for the Department of Public Works contract.

c. RS-10-061; Resurfacing with Related Items in Franklin, Perry and Warren Townships \$3,390,000.00 – Rieth-Riley Construction Co., Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works award Project No. RS-10-061, Resurfacing with Related Items in Franklin, Perry and Warren Townships to Rieth-Riley Construction Co, Inc., in the not to exceed amount of \$3,390,000.00 on the basis that it is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. The engineer's estimate for construction of this project is \$3,732,237.24 and the percentage between the low bid and the engineer's estimate is 9%. This project is for resurfacing with related items. Upon a motion by Mr. Parrin, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 5-0 to approve the Resurfacing with Related Items in Franklin, Perry and Warren Townships Project with Mr. Rosebrough abstaining.

d. RS-10-054A; Michigan Road Pedestrian Trail from Cold Spring Road to Kessler Boulevard \$664,833.71 – Shelly & Sands

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works award Project No. RS-10-054A, Michigan Road Pedestrian Trail from Cold Spring Road to Kessler Boulevard to Shelly and Sands in the not to exceed amount of \$664,833.71 on the basis that it is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. The engineer's estimate for construction of this project is \$705,480.89 and the percentage between the low bid and the engineer's estimate is 5.7%. This project consists of construction of a pedestrian trail on Michigan Road from Cold Spring Road to Kessler Boulevard. Mr. Rosebrough asked if the first phase of this contract was awarded. Mr. Smith replied yes, to Calumet Contractors. Mr. Rosebrough asked when the contractors were going to start work. Mr. Smith stated that the work has begun; however, there were some utility conflicts with the project that staff had to clean up first. Upon a motion by Mr. Hughes, seconded by Ms. Stockamp,

the Board voted 5-0 to approve the Michigan Road Pedestrian Trail from Cold Spring Road to Kessler Boulevard Project with Mr. Rosebrough abstaining.

e. RS-10-059; Resurfacing with Related Items in Warren, Washington, Lawrence and Wayne Townships \$4,692,000.00 – Calumet Civil Contractors, Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works find the bid of Shelly and Sands, Inc., non-responsive and award Project No. RS-10-059, Resurfacing with Related Items in Warren, Washington, Lawrence and Wayne Townships to Calumet Civil Contractor, Inc., in the not to exceed amount of \$4,692,000.00 on the basis that it is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. The engineer's estimate for construction of this project is \$5,026,959.99 and the percentage between the low bid and the engineer's estimate is 6.66%. This project consists of milling/resurfacing, spot replacement of sidewalk and curbs, ADA ramp replacement and other related items of work on various streets in Resurfacing with Related Items in Warren, Washington, Lawrence, and Wayne Townships. The low bidder, Shelly and Sands, Inc., was found to be non-responsive due to their failure to submit the financials per the bid specifications. Shelly and Sands, Inc., did not submit a completed city form 102 Standard Questionnaire and Financial Statement or State Form 96 Contractor's Bid for Public Works per the bid specifications and therefore failed to provide a responsive bid.

Representative Bob Donahue from Shelly & Sands addressed the Board. He acknowledged that Shelly & Sands failed to provide required documents during the bidding process; and that failure to submit their financials could result to their bid being deemed non-responsive. However, he stated in the project bidding manual, this Board has the ability to waive any irregularity that is believed to be in the best interest of the city. Shelly & Sands is pleading that the Board deem this an oversight and reconsider who this bid is awarded to. Director Sherman asked if Shelly & Sands has worked with the city for a length of time. Mr. Donahue replied yes. Director Sherman asked how many years has Shelly and Sands been bidding projects. Mr. Donahue replied throughout a ten year period. Director Sherman asked if there had ever been a time where Shelly & Sands was the second bidder, and because another firm did not fill out their documentation correctly, Shelly & Sands was awarded the project. Mr. Donahue replied for most of his career with Shelly & Sands, he has dealt with the private sector; so he really could not answer the question. Director Sherman stated that this Board does have the ability and flexibility to make the change; however, his concern was how many bids has the city had over the years, where documentation was not the same, and where staff made an exception. He added if this Board made an exception to this case; how many other times would this Board have to make an exception; besides, the mission is to stay on the same course, so that there will never be a case or an opportunity of anyone saying there was a wink for one firm and not for another firm.

Ms. Henry asked what happened on this project where the document was not included, versus the previous project where the document was submitted. Mr. Donahue replied that he was not personally involved with the process; however, believed it was a human error.

Jeff Sirmin, Office of Corporation Council stated that it was confirmed by the Purchasing Department that these bids were opened on two different dates; although awarded on the same date. He replied that unfortunately he has appeared several times to confirm that the city's statute has certain requirements; which is that bids are to be submitted on a 102 form approved by the State Board of Accounts. He also added there was a recent change to the State form 96A, which is used by most of the municipalities in the State of Indiana. He pointed out that there is a certain language within the statute that staff feels is required language, which is the presentation of a financial form with the bid and another is a bid bond; yet, staff has rejected bids for failure to sign or for submission of a bid bond. He explain that beyond those minimum statutory requirements, staff can determine whether variances are material or not material omission, and whether it

affords one bidder or another an unfair advantage over other bidders. Lastly, he stated that this may be something the Administration might want to consider on future bids, to allow the submission of the financial form on a quarterly basis as an alternative. But, staff needs to deal with the State Statues for public construction as it is at this time.

Director Sherman wanted clarification of the options the Board had. They are one, staff could open several doors by going against the State Statute; two, staff could rebid the project; or three, staff could award this bid to the lowest bidder. Mr. Sirmin replied that staff does have a statutory and bid right to reject all bids; however, he would not recommend that staff go against the required statute. Director Sherman asked what is the required statute. Mr. Sirmin expressed that a financial form approved by the State Board of Accounts be submitted with each bid.

Mr. Parrin commented that this is not the first time this issue has come up; yet, he remembered making a recommendation some time ago, that the City and or State revisit their bidding requirements so that all documents that staff wants to see are all on file, so that this did not happen again. He also stated that apparently nothing was done after the Board suggested the recommendation. Now, the city is faced with additional expenses. Mr. Parrin again suggested that staff dig into what needs to be done to make this bidding procedure not only fair, but flexible for the best interest of the city.

Ms. Henry commented that if staff is keeping records for a point and time; she suggested that staff looked to see what the finances are at the time the proposals are being submitted to ensure that the financials are in good standing conditions for the companies that are seeking awards. She further stated that she cautioned making them the exception because someone inadvertently forgot to submit a document. Yet, when staff is managing numerous projects, having to continually make exceptions for various things could be an indication of what could happen on the project. Besides, consistence and due diligence makes a difference, when talking about the roads, bridges, and streets that the citizens are driving on throughout the City of Indianapolis, and ensuring that those due diligences are not only Administrative, but also follow through with the project.

Director Sherman asked what would it take to modify this procedure. Mr. Sirmin replied that the Public Construction Statute requires that you submit with your bid a form approved by the State Board of Accounts, and that the State Statute would need to be modified to allow the municipality to accept financial forms on a quarterly basis. Director Sherman asked if staff would have to go through legislation to get it approved. Mr. Sirmin replied yes. Upon a motion by Mr. Rosebrough, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve the Resurfacing with Related Items in Center, Washington, and Wayne Townships Project.

f. SD-32-009; Highland Creek at Bluff Road and Troy Avenue Stormwater Diversion \$1,833,598.00 – Gerig-Ottenweller Contracting, LLC

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works award Project No. SD-32-009, Highland Creek at Bluff Road and Troy Avenue Stormwater Diversion to Gerig-Ottenweller Contracting, LLC, in the not to exceed amount of \$1,833,598.00 on the basis that it is the lowest responsive and responsible bidder. The engineer's estimate for construction of this project is \$1,795,171.00 and the percentage between the low bid and the engineer's estimate is 2.1%. The project will redirect storm water from Highland Creek to White River using a combination of box culverts storm sewer pipe and diversion structures. Mr. Parrin asked how was this project any different from the previous bid staff just approved. Mr. Masbaum replied that the engineer's estimate was \$1.7 million, and the contractor's bid was \$1.9 million, and because of the math error the contract amount was \$1.8 million, so the cost was reduced from the contractor bid, due to the math error on their bid tabulation. Ms. Stockamp asked if Gerig-Ottenweller was a local firm. Director Sherman replied

that Gerig-Ottenweller is located in Fort Wayne; however, they have done work with the city before. Upon a motion by Ms. Stockamp, seconded by Mr. Hughes, the Board voted 6-0 to approve the Highland Creek at Bluff Road and Troy Avenue Stormwater Diversion Project.

# **ITEM #3 - CHANGE ORDERS**

a. BL-46-004 C & D, C/O No. 2; Homecroft Phase I Septic Tank Elimination Program Areas C & D \$8,056.80 – Poindexter Excavating

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Change Order No. 2 for Poindexter Excavating in the increased amount of \$8,056.80 for a new contract total not to exceed \$3,436,304.86 and no increase of calendar days for Project No. BL-46-004 C & D, Homecroft Phase I Septic Tank Elimination Program Areas C & D. This change order adds all quantities from WDC 2 and WDC 3, and balanced asphalt quantities to reflect what was placed. Upon a motion by Ms. Stockamp, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Change Order No. 2 for the Homecroft Phase I Septic Tank Elimination Program Areas C & D Project.

b. RS-09-028, C/O No. 1; Resurfacing in Center Township \$50,398.47 – Calumet Civil Contractors, Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Change Order No. 1 for Calumet Civil Contractors, Inc., in the increased amount of \$50,398.47 for a new contract total not to exceed \$1,841,679.48 and an increase of seven calendar days for Project No. RS-09-028, Resurfacing in Center Township. This change order incorporates placed quantities, as well as additional drainage improvements at 30<sup>th</sup> Street, west of the intersection at Dr. Martin Luther King. Upon a motion by Mr. Rosebrough, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Change Order No. 1 for Resurfacing in Center Township Project.

c. RS-10-057, C/O No. 1; Resurfacing in Decatur, Pike and Wayne Townships \$329,366.90 – Milestone Contractors, L.P.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Change Order No. 1 for Milestone Contractors, L.P. in the increased amount of \$329,366.90 for a new contract total not to exceed \$3,006,866.90 and an increase of twelve calendar days for Project No. RS-10-057, Resurfacing in Decatur, Pike and Wayne Townships. This change order is for additional resurfacing and related items for several roads within Eagle Creek. Upon a motion by Mr. Hughes, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Change Order No. 1 for Resurfacing in Decatur, Pike and Wayne Townships Project.

# ITEM # 4 - FINAL CHANGE ORDERS AND ACCEPTANCES

a. RS-09-025; C/O No. 2/FINAL; Resurfacing in Perry and Franklin Townships \$(31,792.20) – E & B Paving

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Change Order No. 2/FINAL for E & B Paving in the decreased amount of \$(31,792.20) for a final contract total of \$351,182.52 and an increase of 7 calendar days for Project No. RS-09-025, Resurfacing in Perry and Franklin Townships, and furthermore to accept this project as final. This change order adds some additional drainage components to Laverne Drive as well as making final field adjustments. Upon a motion by Mr. Parrin, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Final Change Order No. 2 for the

Resurfacing in Perry and Franklin Townships Project.

b. SD-17-052B; C/O No. 2/FINAL; Northern Estates Drainage Project Phase II \$(9,575.63) – E & B Paving, Inc.
Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Change Order No. 2/FINAL for E & B Paving, Inc., in the decreased amount of \$(9,575.63) for a final contract total not to exceed \$398,922.56 and an increase of 22 calendar days for Project No. SD-17-052B, Northern Estates Drainage Project Phase II, and furthermore to accept this project as final. This final change order consists of additional work and additional time. Upon a motion by Ms. Henry, seconded by Mr. Parrin, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Final Change Order No. 2 for the Northern Estates Drainage Project Phase II Project.

# ITEM # 5 – PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AGREEMENTS

a. LS-11-003, LS 508 Warfleigh Pumpstation Bar Screen Replacement \$569,300.00 - Strand Associates, Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute a Professional Services Agreement between Strand Associates, Inc., and the City of Indianapolis for the flood protection LS 508 Warfleigh Pumpstation Bar Screen Replacement Project No. LS-11-003 for a total contract amount not to exceed \$569,300.00. The scope of work includes design phase services needed to meet the requirements of the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Ms. Henry asked if Strand Associates has done work for the city before. Mr. Masbaum replied that Strand is relatively new to the city; yet, they have done a couple of other large pump station projects for the city, like the lift station 507 project. Ms. Henry replied that she was pleased to see this firm meet the MBE/WBE and VBE participation. Upon a motion by Mr. Hughes, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve the LS 508 Warfleigh Pumpstation Bar Screen Replacement Agreement.

b. SD-32-009, Highland Creek at Bluff Road and Troy Avenue Stormwater Diversion \$179,962.17 – PB Americas

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute the Construction Inspection Agreement with PB Americas for SD-32-009, Highland Creek at Bluff Road and Troy Avenue Stormwater Diversion project in the amount not to exceed \$179,962.17. This project will redirect storm water from Highland Creek to White River using a combination of box culverts storm sewer pipe and diversion structures. Upon a motion by Mr. Rosebrough, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve the Highland Creek at Bluff Road and Troy Avenue Stormwater Diversion Agreement.

#### ITEM # 6 – PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AMENDMENTS

a. SD-20-003A; Pendleton Pike and Shadeland Avenue Stormwater Improvements, Amendment No. 1 \$47,748.94 – Bollinger, Lach & Associates

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Service Agreement dated July 8, 2009, with Bollinger, Lach & Associates, for SD-20-003A, Pendleton Pike and Shadeland Avenue Stormwater Improvements in the amount of \$47,748.94 for a total amount not to exceed \$159,622.20. This amendment is for additional full time inspection services for construction consisting of storm water improvements and relocation of private sanitary sewer including the installation of storm and sanitary sewer pipe, structures, ditch grading, milling and resurfacing of streets with related items of work. Mr. Parrin asked are unrecorded sanitary

sewers common. Mr. Masbaum replied that he would not say it is common; however, it happens from time to time. Upon a motion by Mr. Parrin, seconded by Ms. Henry, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 1 to the Pendleton Pike and Shadeland Avenue Stormwater Improvements Agreement.

 BE-28-002A; Wet Weather Secondary Treatment Expansion Belmont Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility, Amendment No. 2 \$11,350.00 – Greeley and Hansen

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Amendment No. 2 to the Professional Service Agreement dated March 23, 2007, with Greeley and Hansen for BE-28-002A, Wet Weather Secondary Treatment Expansion Belmont Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility, formerly known as BE-20-006, Trickling Filter Solid Contract Project in the increased amount of \$11,350.00 for a total amount not to exceed \$4,564,959.00. This amendment is for an increase in the scope of work to include quality assurance testing as required in the city's independent testing manual. Upon a motion by Ms. Henry, seconded by Mr. Hughes, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 2 to the Wet Weather Secondary Treatment Expansion Belmont Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility Agreement.

c. ENG-10-009; On-Call Inspection Engineering Services, Amendment No. 1 \$500,000.00 – Stephen J. Christian & Associates

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Service Agreement dated May 26, 2010, with Stephen J. Christian and Associates for ENG-10-009, On-Call Inspection Engineering Services in the increased amount of \$500,000.00 for a total amount not to exceed \$800,000.00 and extend the contract time to December 31, 2012. This amendment provides for additional construction inspection services through December 31, 2012, and for an additional inspection for the increase of transportation projects currently bidding and that will bid the next two calendar years. Upon a motion by Ms. Stockamp, seconded by Mr. Parrin, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 1 to the On-Call Inspection Engineering Services Agreement.

d. ST-40-010; Edgewood/Gray and Edgewood/McFarland, Amendment No. 1 \$7,300.00 – USI Consultants, Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement for design with USI Consultants, Inc., for project number ST-40-010, Edgewood/Gray and Edgewood/McFarland Road in the increased amount not to exceed \$7,300.00. The estimated construction cost is \$1,465,000.00. This amendment designs two round-abouts at two intersections instead of the conventional signalized intersection with left turn lanes. Ms. Stockamp asked how will this project save money with round-abouts. Mr. Jones replied that it is less right-a-way costs; and that the right-of-way impacts were becoming much tighter because of getting closer to existing buildings and paying for damages. Yet, he added by doing this, staff would be able to offset the intersection and avoid damages. Ms. Stockamp asked if this would be the same with most intersections. Mr. Jones replied that obviously you would not have the signal cost, which is roughly about a \$100 thousand; plus there would be long term maintenance cost. Mr. Hughes asked if traffic analysis was a part of the design. Mr. Jones replied yes. Ms. Henry asked if there would be more round-about projects. Mr. Jones replied yes, he believed so. Upon a motion by Ms. Henry, seconded by Mr. Parrin, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 1 to the Edgewood/Gray and Edgewood/McFarland Agreement.

#### ITEM #7 – OTHER AMENDMENTS

a. Alternative E-85 Fuel, Amendment No. 3 \$178,000.00 Est. – Petroleum Traders Corporation

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Amendment No. 3 for Alternative E-85 Fuel for an additional one year term with Petroleum Traders Corporation to end on November 1, 2011. The renewal of the referenced contract would be at the same pricing terms and conditions of the original contract and is the final renewal for this contract. This term contract provides Fleet Services with alternative E-85 ethanol fuel for use by the City/County Fleet and is available to our outside customers. Ms. Henry asked who were the outside customers. Mr. Stinson replied that there are about 15 customers, Public Library, Health and Hospital, all municipal owned and municipal plated. Upon a motion by Mr. Rosebrough, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 3 to the Alternative E-85 Fuel contract.

 Alignment and Suspension Repair Services, Amendment No. 2 \$600,000.00 Est. – Anderson Spring Service, Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Amendment No. 2 for Alignment and Suspension Repair Services for an additional two year term with Anderson Spring Services, Inc., to end on October 30, 2012. The renewal of the referenced contract would be at the same pricing terms and conditions of the original contract and is the second renewal for this contract. This agreement provides for alignment and suspension services for use in maintaining the City/County Fleet. Upon a motion by Mr. Parrin, seconded by Ms. Stockamp, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 2 to the Alignment and Suspension Repair Services contract.

c. Bulk Transport/Tank Wagon Delivery of Unleaded and Diesel Fuel, Amendment No. 1 \$4,000,500.00 Est. – Jackson Oil & Solvents, Inc.

Staff recommended that the Board of Public Works approve and authorize the Director to execute Amendment No. 1 for Bulk Transport and Tank Wagon Delivery of Unleaded and Diesel Fuel for an additional on year term with Jackson Oil & Solvents, Inc., to end on October 28, 2011. The renewal of the referenced contract would be at the same pricing terms and conditions of the original contract and is the first renewal for this contract. This agreement provides for the delivery of bulk unleaded and diesel fuel for all IFS fuel sites for use by the City/County Fleet and outside customers. Upon a motion by Ms. Henry, seconded by Mr. Hughes, the Board voted 6-0 to approve Amendment No. 1 to the Bulk Transport/Tank Wagon Delivery of Unleaded and Diesel Fuel contract.

#### ITEM #8 – OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Hughes asked Director Sherman to provide the Board with a quick update on the Citizens Gas and the Parking Meter initiatives. Director Sherman confirmed that the Citizens Gas agreement was approved at the Council level and was awaiting approval of the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC). Mr. Hughes asked if the parking meter agreement was approved by the Council. Director Sherman replied no; however, Deputy Mayor Huber would be addressing the Board about the parking meter agreement at the next meeting. Mr. Hughes asked about the recycling initiatives. Director Sherman replied that there are some opportunities that were presented and looked at; however, staff is still looking at different proposals. Meanwhile, he stated when the final agreement is made, staff will bring it to this Board for approval.

There being no further business the meeting of the Board of Public Works was adjourned at 2:04 p.m.

David Sherman, Chair

Kimberly A. Frye, Recording Secretary